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In paper [1] Rumiantsev proves a theorem whereby the stability of the equilibrium position of a hollow body filled with two homogeneous nonmixing liquids with surface tension property, is guaranteed if the functional

$$
F=V+\alpha S+\alpha_{1} \sigma_{1}+\alpha_{2} \sigma_{2}, \quad V=V_{0}+\iint_{\tau_{1}} \rho_{1} V_{1} d \tau+\iint_{\tau_{2}} \rho_{2} \rho_{2} d \tau
$$

has an isolated minimum $F_{0}$ for the equilibrium position.
Here $V$ is the potential energy of the external forces applied to the body ( $V_{0}$ ) and liquids; $\tau_{1}, T_{2}$ are the volumes occupied by the first and second liquids, respectively; $S$ is the interface area of the ilquids; $\sigma_{1}$, $\sigma_{2}$ are the areas of contact of the liquids with the cavity wall; $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}$ are the corresponding coefficients of surface tension; $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ are the densities, $\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}$.

A necessary condition for a minimum in the functional $F$ is that it has a weak minimum. The present paper gives sufficient conditions for a weak minimum in $F$ when the body has the form of a heavy physical pendulum with a cylindrical cavity filled with two heavy liquids. Here the problem of a weak minimum in $F$ reduces to finding the conditions of a minimum in the function $G$ of the body's coordinates. Such a device was employed by Pozharitskii [2] in solving a similar minimum problem for a body containing a liquid devoid of surface tension.

Let there be an equilibrium position at which the interface of the liquids lies at a finite distance from the end faces of the cavity and intersects the side walls, which will be assumed vertical for simplicity. The heavier liquid occupies the lower part of the cavity.

We introduce the stationary coordinate axes $x_{1} y_{2} z_{1}$ as follows: the axis $z_{1}$ is directed upward along the vertical, $y_{1}$ is the axis of the pendulum, and $x_{1}$ is orthogonal to the plane $z_{1} y_{1}$. The movable axes xys inked with the solid portion of the pendulum are: the $z-a x i s$ parallel to the cavity wall, the $x$-axis in the plane of swing, and the $y$-axis coincident with $y_{1}$.

To abbreviate our notation we introduce the notation

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{x}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad \Phi^{x}\left(f_{i}\right)=\frac{f_{i, x}}{\sqrt{1+f_{i}, x+f_{i, y}^{2}}} \\
P^{x}(\zeta)=\frac{\left(1+f_{1}{ }^{2}, y\right) \zeta_{x}-f_{1, x} f_{1, y} \zeta_{y}}{\left(1+f_{\left.1, \frac{2}{x}+f_{1, y}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \quad(i=0,1), \quad(x y)\right.}
\end{gathered}
$$

1. Let us indicate the method of obtaining the function $G$. In the equilibrium position the interface $z=f_{0}(x, y)$ is determined from Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right) f_{0}-\alpha\left\{\left[\Phi^{x}\left(f_{0}\right)\right]_{x}+\left[\Phi^{y}\left(f_{0}\right)\right]_{1}\right\}=\mathrm{const}=c_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary condition

$$
\Phi^{x}\left(f_{0}\right) \frac{d y}{d l}-\Phi^{y}\left(f_{0}\right) \frac{d x}{d l}=\frac{\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}}{\alpha}
$$

along the ilne $t$ of intersection of the cavity walls with the plane $x y$.
Let the body be inclined at a small angle $\vartheta$ from the equilibrium position. The equation of the interface $z=f_{1}(x, y, \vartheta)$, for which $\delta F=0$ for a fixed , 0 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)\left(f_{1} \cos \theta-x \sin \vartheta\right)-\alpha\left\{\left[\Phi_{1}^{x}\left(f_{1}\right)\right]_{x}+\left[\Phi^{y}\left(j_{1}\right)\right]_{v}\right\}=\mathrm{const}=C_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary condition

$$
\Phi^{x}\left(f_{1}\right) \frac{d y}{d l}-\Phi^{y}\left(f_{1}\right) \frac{d x}{d l}=\frac{\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}}{\alpha}
$$

Let us suppose that $f_{0}(x, y)$ and $f_{1}(x, y, \vartheta)$ are single-valued functions of their coordinates with bounded derivatives, and that the differences $\left(f_{1}-f_{0}\right), \quad\left(f_{1, x}-f_{0, x}\right),\left(f_{1, y}-f_{0, y}\right)$ are sqall for small $\vartheta$, or, more precisely,

We must now prove that the functional $F$ has a weak minimum for a fixed $\vartheta$ if the interface is given by Equation (2). To do this we can make use of the second variation of $F$.

The functional $F$ clearly depends on $\mathfrak{V}$ and on the shape of the interface $z=f(x, y)$. As our measure of the inclination of the interface we take $\zeta=f-f_{1}, 1 . e$. its displacement along the z-axis. Assuming $\zeta$ and 1 its derivatives to be small and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$, to be fixed, we obtain the following expression for the second variation:

$$
2 \delta^{2} F=\int_{(\Omega)}\left\{g\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right) \cos \vartheta \zeta^{2}+\alpha\left[\zeta_{x} p^{x}(\zeta)+\xi_{y} p^{y}(\zeta)\right]\right\} d \Omega
$$

Here ( $\Omega$ ) $1 s$ a region of area $\Omega$ bounded by the curve $t$ Usually $\delta_{2} F$ also inciudes a curvilinear integral over $\ell$. In our case this integral is lacking due to the shape of the cavity and the choice of the measure of inclination.

It is clear that

$$
\left.\delta^{2} F>K \iint_{(\Omega)}\left(\zeta^{2}+\operatorname{grad}^{2} \zeta\right) d \Omega \quad\left(2 K=\min _{(\Omega)}\left\{\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}\right) \varepsilon \cos \theta, \frac{\alpha}{\left(1+f_{1}^{2}, x+f_{1}, y\right.}\right)^{3} z\right\}\right)
$$

This is sufficient in order for $F$ to have a minimum $G(\vartheta)=F\left(\vartheta, f_{1}\right)$ for a fixed $\hat{\forall},$. since

$$
F(\vartheta, f)-G(\theta)=\delta^{2} F+\beta \int_{(\Omega)} \operatorname{grad}^{2} \zeta d \Omega \quad\left(\beta \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { it } \operatorname{limax}_{(\Omega)}\left\{\zeta_{x}, \zeta_{U}\right\} \rightarrow 0\right)
$$

We choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\beta<K / 2$ is fulfilled for
$\mid \max _{(\Omega)}\left\{\zeta_{x}, \zeta_{y}\right\}<\varepsilon$
Then

$$
F(\vartheta, f)-G(\vartheta)>\frac{1}{2} K \int_{(\Omega)}^{0}\left(\zeta^{2}+\operatorname{grad}^{2} 5\right) d \Omega>0
$$

This enables us to prove the following theorem.
Theorem. The functional $F$ has an isolated minimum $F_{0}$ if and only if the function $G(\forall)$ has an isolated minimum $F_{o}$.

The proof here is similar to that given in [3].
Sufficiency. Let $G>F_{0}$ for $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$; since $F(i, j)>G(\forall)$ for all $\vartheta$ and $\zeta \neq 0$, then certainly $F>F_{0}$, provided at least one of the two following conditions is fulfilled: $\hat{v} \neq 0, \zeta \neq 0$.

Necessity . Let $F>F_{0}$ for all positions of the system close to the equiliorium position. Then $G>F_{0}$ as well, since the position of the syotem described by the interface $z=f_{1}$ and the small angle $\hat{y} \neq 0$ is also clove.
2. We can now derive the condition for a minimum in $G$ by using the quadratic portion of the increment $G-F_{0}$ To do this, we break down the latter into two parts,

$$
G-F_{0}=\Delta_{1} F+\Delta_{2} F
$$

Here $\Delta_{1}{ }^{F}$ is the increment in $F$ when the system as a single solid body is inclined at the angle $\theta$, and $\Delta_{2} F=-\delta^{2} F$ is the increment in $F$ upon displacement of the interface from the position $z=\rho_{0}$ to the position $z=f_{1}$, 1.e. for $\zeta_{1}=J_{1}-f_{0}$.

The equation for $\zeta_{1}$ is obtained by subtracting (1) from (2) and retaining only terms of the first order of smallness in $\vartheta$ and $\zeta_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-x v^{0}\right)-a\left[\left[^{x}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right]_{x}+\left[p^{i}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)\right]_{y}\right\}=\mathrm{const}=c_{1}-c_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary condition

$$
p^{x}\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \frac{d y}{d l}-p^{y}\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \frac{d x}{d l}=0
$$

To compute $C_{2}-C_{0}$ we integrate ( 3 ) over the region ( $\Omega$ )

$$
c_{1}-c_{0}=-\frac{g\left(\rho_{x}-\rho_{3}\right)}{\Omega} \iint_{(\Omega)} x \hat{v} d \Omega
$$

(the integral of $\sigma$ over ( $\Omega$ ) is equal to zero by virtue of the incompressibility of liquids).

It is clear that in the expression for $\delta^{a} F$ we can replace $f_{1}$ by $f_{0}$ by virtue of the assumptions made as regards their closeness.

Multiplying (3) by $G_{i}$ and integrating over the region ( $\cap$ ), we obtain

$$
\Delta_{2} F=-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) g \iint_{(\Omega)} x 0_{\zeta_{1}} d \Omega
$$

In Equation (3) we can make the substitution $\zeta_{1}=\forall \varphi(x, y)$, . Then

$$
G-F_{0}=\frac{1}{2} g \vartheta^{2}\left[-M z_{0}-\left(\rho_{1}-p_{2}\right) \iint_{(\Omega)} x \varphi d \Omega\right]
$$

In order for $G$ to have a minimum, it is sufficient that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M z_{0}+\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{(\Omega)}^{*} x \varphi d \Omega<0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $M$ is the mass and zo the coordinate of the center of mass of the entire system in the equilibrium position.

With $\alpha=\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0$, the quantity $\phi$ and condition (4) are as follows:

$$
\varphi=x-\frac{1}{Q} \int_{(\Omega)}^{\infty} x d \Omega, \quad M z_{0}<\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right)\left[\int_{(\Omega)} x^{2} d \Omega-\left(\int_{(\Omega)}^{0} x d \Omega\right)^{2}\right]
$$

1.e. they coincide with the analogous condition obtained in [2].

In the particular case where the cavity is a circular cylinder of radius $P$, the axis of the cavity passes through the point of attachment, and $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$, condition (4) can be written in finite form
$M_{z_{0}}<-\pi\left[\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right) \frac{R^{4}}{4}-\frac{\alpha R^{2}}{g} \frac{I_{2}(\lambda R)}{I_{2}(\lambda R)+(\lambda R)^{-1} I_{1}(\lambda R)}\right]$

$$
\left(\lambda=\frac{\sqrt{g\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)
$$

Here $I_{1}(\lambda A)$ is a modified Bessel function.

The author is grateful to V.V. Rumiantsev for his interest in the present study.
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